



Order under Section 21.2 of the Statutory Powers Procedure Act and the Residential Tenancies Act, 2006

File Number: LTB-L-085505-23-RV

In the matter of: 11, 779 EGLINTON AVE W
TORONTO ON M5N1E1

Between: Imtradex Corp Landlord
Jean pierre Bauer

And

Kizzy Paris Tenant

Review Order

Imtradex Corp and Jean pierre Bauer (the 'Landlord') applied for an order to terminate the tenancy and evict Kizzy Paris (the 'Tenant') because the Tenant did not pay the rent that the Tenant owes.

This application was resolved by order LTB-L-085505-23 issued on January 15, 2026 as a result of hearings that took place on March 25, 2024, May 21, 2024, November 19, 2025 and December 5, 2025.

On February 17, 2026, the Tenant requested a review of the order.

A preliminary review of the review request was completed without a hearing.

Determinations:

1. For the reasons that follow the request to review is denied and the order remains unchanged.

Request to Review

2. The Tenant's request to review asserts she was not reasonably able to participate at the hearing and that the order contains a serious error. It raises the argument of procedural fairness which was raised at the hearing and goes on to assert that key evidence was not considered in the decision.
3. With respect to the allegation of not reasonably being able to participate I do not find this to be true. I say this because, in reviewing the Board's records, it appears this matter was before the Board on four separate occasions (where the Tenant was in attendance for all hearing dates) of which it was adjourned twice for the Tenant's benefit – once at the Tenant's request on March 25, 2024 and once to allow for more time so that the Tenant

could continue to present with her evidence relating to her section 82 claims on November 19, 2025.

4. Further, at the hearing on December 5, 2025, the hearing procedure was explained to the Tenant, she was advised of the amount of time she had to present her evidence and her request to not be interrupted as she presented evidence was accommodated by the presiding adjudicator – all of which is articulated in the order that is the subject of this review.
5. The Tenant submits procedural fairness was compromised as she had limited time to present evidence and her uploaded documentation was not considered – however, the presiding adjudicator explains the reason for the time limits set in place for both parties (given the pattern at the last hearing and to ensure the matter was completed) as well as the fact that the Tenant did not present any other documents (see paragraphs 17-22). I do not find there to be anything unreasonable in the presiding adjudicator's decision to set time limits as the Board has the right to control their processes under the SPPA and section 183 of the *Residential Tenancies Act, 2006*.
6. With respect to the allegation of a serious error in procedure and the order, specifically the lack of consideration of the Tenant's section 83 circumstances I am not satisfied this is the case. I say this because this is explored in paragraphs 17 to 25 in the order that is the subject of this review. While all of the circumstances may not be included in the order, the outcome arrived at by the adjudicator is within a reasonable range of outcomes and the Tenant's family status, native background, length of tenancy, health circumstances and employment changes were considered by the presiding adjudicator when exercising her discretion to grant relief from eviction.
7. I note the Tenant acknowledges raising these considerations at the hearing in November 2025 but disputes the outcome and feels they were not "meaningfully considered." The Board's Interpretation Guideline #8 speaks to discretionary decisions and states in part the following:

Orders granting relief from eviction (RTA s. 83(1)) or awarding specific remedies involve an exercise of the original hearing Member's discretion and are entitled to deference. The LTB will not exercise its discretion to review these types of decisions where the result is within the range of reasonable, acceptable outcomes.
8. On the basis of the submissions made in the request, I am not satisfied that there is a serious error in the order or that a serious error occurred in the proceedings or that the Tenant was not reasonably able to participate in the proceeding. The request to review is denied.
9. This order contains all of the reasons for the decision within it. No further reasons shall be issued.

It is ordered that:

1. The request to review order LTB-L-085505-23 issued on January 15, 2026 is denied. The order is confirmed and remains unchanged.

February 19, 2026
Date Issued

Sonia Anwar-Ali
Member, Landlord and Tenant Board

15 Grosvenor Street, Ground Floor
Toronto ON M7A 2G6

If you have any questions about this order, call 416-645-8080 or toll free at 1-888-332-3234.